

# The Theory of Recentism: A Reassessment of History



The theory of **recentism**, also known as the revised history theory, is a controversial hypothesis that suggests conventional historical timelines are fundamentally flawed and that historical events as we know them occurred much more recently than generally believed. This theory has been popularized by several researchers and writers, most notably Anatoly Fomenko, a Russian mathematician who has developed complex and detailed arguments supporting this reassessment of historical time.

## Origins and Development of the Theory

The theory of recentism has deep roots in the attempts to reevaluate historical chronologies dating back to the 18th century. However, it gained significant attention in the 20th century through the work of Anatoly Fomenko and his colleagues. Fomenko, using methods of statistics and mathematical analysis, proposed that the dates and historical events taught in textbooks are often fabrications or deliberate errors.

## Mathematical and Astronomical Foundations

One of the main methods used by Fomenko is the statistical analysis of historical texts. He compared historical data from different eras and claimed that many histories are actually repetitions of earlier accounts with modified names and

dates. Additionally, Fomenko used astronomical alignments to challenge traditional historical chronologies. He examined descriptions of astronomical events in historical documents and argued that many of these events corresponded to stellar alignments that occurred much later than traditionally accepted.

## **Criticisms of Traditional Methods**

Recentists severely criticize traditional methods of historical dating, such as carbon-14 dating and dendrochronology (the study of tree rings), arguing that they are not as reliable as claimed. They contend that errors and biases in these methods have led to incorrect chronologies that have been accepted without question.

## **Implications of the Theory of Recentism**

If the theories of recentism were proven accurate, it would have profound and disruptive implications for our understanding of history. It would mean that many famous historical events and figures, such as the Roman Empire, the Crusades, and even the Renaissance, occurred much more recently than believed. This radical reassessment could also change our perception of ancient cultures and civilizations, as well as the evolution of technology and human knowledge.

## **Recentism and Great Civilizations**

Recentists propose that many great civilizations, such as the Roman Empire and ancient Egypt, have been misdated and that their true periods of existence are much closer to modern times. They argue that similarities between different cultures and periods in history are often evidence of temporal overlaps and repetitions rather than independent and sequential developments.

## **Consequences for Historical and Archaeological Studies**

Adopting the theory of recentism would require a massive revision of historical and archaeological studies. Researchers would need to reconsider thousands of documents, artifacts, and structures to redetermine their age and historical context. This could also mean a reevaluation of recent archaeological discoveries and a reorganization of museum exhibits based on revised chronologies.

## **Criticisms and Controversies**

The theory of recentism is not without its critics. The majority of historians, archaeologists, and scientists reject this hypothesis, labeling it as pseudoscience. They argue that Fomenko's and other recentists' methods are biased and based on misapplied statistical analyses and erroneous interpretations of historical and astronomical data.

## **Arguments Against Recentism**

Critics assert that Fomenko's statistical analyses are flawed because they do not account for the complexity of historical data and cultural variations. Moreover, the astronomical events used to revise the chronologies are often based on overly flexible interpretations of historical descriptions, leading to dubious conclusions.

## **Defense of Traditional History**

Proponents of traditional history argue that current dating methods, though imperfect, are based on decades of research and empirical evidence. They contend that recentism ignores these vast bodies of evidence and does not offer viable mechanisms to explain the discrepancies between revised chronologies and widely accepted archaeological and

historical facts.

## **Conclusion**

The theory of recentism remains a marginal proposition in the field of historical studies. While it has sparked interesting debates about the reliability of historical chronologies and dating methods, it has not succeeded in convincing the majority of the scientific and academic community. Nonetheless, it underscores the importance of ongoing critique and reevaluation of methods and hypotheses in historical research. For many, recentism serves as a reminder that history is a constantly evolving science, always subject to new discoveries and interpretations.

## **Sources**

- [fr.wikipedia.org](https://fr.wikipedia.org)

---

History - 22 août 2024 - Rael2012 - CC BY 2.5